Criteria for **Dean** or Peer Review of Teaching

FACULTY INFORMATION							
Name: Kevin Taylor		Students I	Inrolled: 13	Students Present: 13			
Class Observed: ANGD 1311.03 Set/Level		Class Length: Observation Time: 1 hr 2.75					
Building and room #: AD406		Eva	Evaluator: Sharon Welkey, Dean				
Review Date: 9/20/ 2017 Type of Cla	nss: <mark>Studio</mark>						
OBSERVER RATINGS- PLEASE WRITE COMMENTS FOR EACH SECTION							
	Good	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	Not Applicable			
Objectives for the section were clear and appropriate.	✓						
Comments: Stated what would be covered and how – Modular set design and prefab							
Material was presented clearly.	✓						
Comments: Yes, PPT was visually interesting with good examples, went over assignment #2 in very thorough detail							
The instructor encouraged interaction/student contributions	✓						
Comments: Yes, frequently asked questions of students and checked for understanding.							
Time in the class session was managed effectively	✓						
Comments: Started on time, good pace, paused frequently to ask if students had questions.							
The instructor spoke distinctly and audibly	✓						
Comments: Yes, from where I sat.							
The instructor distinguished between the presentation of factual material and personal opinion				✓			
Comments:							

		Good	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	Not Applicable
The instructor provided presentation of other o interpretations where a	pinions or				✓
Comments:					
The instructor prompte thinking and/or creativ		✓			
Comments: Through the a	assignments given				
Assignments or plans fo session(s) were made c		✓			
Comments: I did not stay		ngth class perio	d		
The instructor provided class.		✓			
Comments: I did not stay	the entire studio lei	ngth class perio	d		
	some students m Students stated t syllabus was clea <u>Below are answer</u> NAME ONE THIN • He comes to with all the o • He explains • He is always • Goes over e • He will take by step agai • Is willing to • Very positive • Goes around • The worksho	ore advanced the hat this was a nerrow of the this was a nerrow of the the rs to 3 questions of THE INSTRUCE of the INSTRUCE of the students is class for us to be everything very seavailable to he verything from search of the the time to go be n so we can be sit down and we and helpful with and explains the pos where he go	an others. Overall the ormal class day, the t you use BB and the <u>s asked of students,</u> TOR DOES THAT HE ndividually when we warn from each other well and is consider lp and assist with an start to completion, is back on a subject that the understand it. ork out and explain the the anything in the class	here was a good class y had the syllabus on the forum. <u>overall the replies are</u> ELPS YOU LEARN: thave a problem, and 's mistakes and succest ate of others who do r ything. multiple times, and even at we might be having the solution to a proble ass. If the individual assista	the first day (online) and that the positive. shares the problems and solutions sees. not understand topics as fast as en more if you need extra help. trouble on and go through it step em.

He talks too fast. ٠ NAME ONE THING YOU WOULD CHANGE IN THIS CLASS TO MAKE IT BETTER: I don't have any changes I wish made. ٠ Nothing, I enjoy the class the way it is. • Finding assignments posted on Blackboard can be hard to find at times, so making accessing them easier • would be nice. More small assignments to ensure all modeling tools are being used repetitively for memory. Nothing. • Pace better. Nothing really. • Nothing. None that I can think of. Just needs to slow down. The class is good. • Nothing, love the class. • Sometimes I feel like he explains things a little too fast, and then I miss some stuff. For him to talk slower. INSTRUCTOR'S RESPONSE Signature: Sharm Welkey Evaluator Date Emailed to faculty on 12/15/17 Date ____ Faculty Member