SP 2017 UG Survey
SP 2017

Webster University
School of Communications

Course:	ANIM 1020 01 - 3D Animation Production: Core Concepts	Department:	ANIM
Responsible Faculty:	Kevin Taylor	Responses / Expected:	13 / 16 (81.25%)

Q	1 - Comments on facilities used for class (classrooms and labs):	
Re	esponse Rate: 69.23% (9 of 13)	
1	needs more computers	Q
2	need more access to lab to use computers for assignments	Q
3	Some computers in 202 have not been working all semester	Q
4	Small. Frequently there weren't enough computers for the entire class due to malfunctions and such.	Q
5	N/A	Q
6	It's a bit small.	Q
7	Good	Q
8	Good enough.	Q
9	Animation lab is only just big enough for class, and since we're in here people who need to work on their animations can't come in. It'd be different if, I don't know, we had an actual Game Design classroom suited to our needs?	Q

Q2	2 - Comments	on equip	ment used for class:	
Re	sponse Rate:	69.23%	(9 of 13)	
1	some of the	compute	ers do not work, leaving us with more students than computers when everyone shows up for class	Q
2	outdated co	mputer o	perating system.	Q
3	computers a	ire okay,	need better access to them	Q
4	Works fine.			Q
5	When it doe	sn't malf	unction the computers are quite capable and good at running the necessary software.	Q
6	We need mo	re equipi	ment and better computers. They crash a lot and our room gets fun and not everyone can use one at times which is a problem.	Q
7	Sometimes	the comp	puters start up glacially slow.	Q
8	Sometimes beginning of	the comp	puters would just be broken and say stuff like "Do Not Use, Parts Missing." I'm actually sitting next to that computer right now. It's been like that since the nester. That's a long time not being fixed. Also these keyboards suck.	Q
9	Great to be	able to us	se Cintiqs and Maya at school.	O

Q	3 - Comments for the media center:	
Re	sponse Rate: 69.23% (9 of 13)	
1	n/a	Q
2	leave lab open till midnight	Q
3	Some computers in 202 have not been working all semester	Q
4	None.	Q
5	N/A	Q
6	N/A	Q
7	N/A	Q
8	It's okay.	Q
9	Good	Q


ANIM 1020 - 01 1.0										
						AN	IM 1020 - (01		
Graduate Course Specific	F	Respo	nses	;				Course	•	
	ATT	2	3	4	N	N	Grp Med	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q4 The class met for the full length of scheduled sessions.	12	0	0	0	0	12	1.0	1	1	0

Responses: [ATT] All the time=1 [2] 2=2 [3] 3=3 [4] 4=4 [N] Never=5

ANIM 1020 - 01	1.2												
									ANI	M 1020 -	01		
Graduate Course Spec	ific				Res	spon	ses				Course	е	
				SA	2	3	4	SD	N	Grp Med	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q5 The materials cover	ered were appropriate for	the graduate level.		9	2	0	0	0	11	1.1	1	1	.39

5

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=1 [2] 2=2 [3] 3=3 [4] 4=4 [SD] Strongly Disagree=5



2

1

3

4

							ANIN	1 1020	- 01		
The	Course	F	Res	por	ıse	s			Cours	e	
		SA	2	3	4	SD	N	Grp Med	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q6	The course content was consistent with the course description.	10	2	1	0	0	13	1.2	1	1	.61
Q7	Student learning outcomes from this class were clearly defined in the syllabus or verbally by the instructor.	11	2	0	0	0	13	1.1	1	1	.36
Q8	The text(s), materials, and other resources used reflected the course student learning outcomes.	9	0	2	0	0	11	1.6	1	1	.77
Q9	Assignments were appropriate to the course content.	11	2	0	0	0	13	1.1	1	1	.36
Q10	The pace at which the instructor covered the material was appropriate for the amount of material covered.	7	3	2	1	0	13	1.4	1	1	.97

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=1 [2] 2=2 [3] 3=3 [4] 4=4 [SD] Strongly Disagree=5

(0 1	1 :	2 3	3 4	5
ANIM 1020 - 01		1.5			

						Α	NIM 1020	0 - 01		
The Course		Res	spor	ises				Cours	е	
	E	2	3	4	Р	N	Grp Med	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q11 How do you rate the course overall in meeting the stated learning outcomes?	9	2	2	0	0	13	1.2	1	1	.75

Responses: [E] Excellent=1 [2] 2=2 [3] 3=3 [4] 4=4 [P] Poor=5

Q12 - Course Planning and Organization Response Rate: 84.62% (11 of 13) 1 the course planning was well planned and organized 2 good 3 fair assignments 4 Worked out. 5 Needs more interaction on a weekly basis, also would be good to have multiple drafts of assignments. 6 N/A It was fine. I don't know how well I'm going to do on this test, since this is a hands-on class and we've spent most of our time doing hands-on modeling work. So if I could make 7 a suggestion and maybe say the class before the test we brush up on terms and other things that could be on the test, just because also we only really had like three lectures, and two of them were in the very beginning of the semester. Q I wish it was more than a one day class, it gets hard to be sure my asssignments meet the requirements when I have like one class a weel and it's hard to meet with the 8 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ teacher. 9 Good 10 Good 11 Enough time was given for each assignment, allowing for completion

Q13 - Communication	ion/ Interaction
Response Rate: 69.	1.23 % (9 of 13)

1	1 good		Q
2	communication was excellent		Q
3	Very in depth and helpful.		Q
4	Usually got back to me.		Q
5	5 N/A		Q
6	N/A - though other students have said you meet up with them and help them after class and such, so that's cool. I never felt like I couldn't reach out to you or as	sk for help.	Q
7	7 Kevin was a great professor		Q
8	B Great		Q
9	9 Good		Q
01	Q14 - Assignments, Exams, and Grading		
	Response Rate: 92.31% (12 of 13)		
_	1 the grading was good		Q
2			Q
3			Q
4			Q
5			Q
6	6 N/A		Q
-	7 N/A		Q
8	8 I wish we had more time for assignments, and Kevin graded really harshly at times. He'd dock points for things that he never told us he wanted us to do.		Q
9	9 Grading is unnecessarily harsh with only one final version being turned in.		Q
10	10 Fair		Q
11	Fair Grading with helpful feedback.		Q
12	Definitely assignments.		Q
_	215 - Course Results/ Outcomes		
_	Response Rate: 76.92% (10 of 13)		
<u> </u>	1 the outcomes expected of the course were meet		Q
_	2 n/a		Q
3			Q
4			Q
5			Q
7			Q
8			Q
9			Q
_	10 Fair		Q
	Turi		<u> </u>
	0 1 2 3 4 5 ANIM 1020 - 01 1.5		
	ANIM 1020 - 01		
Th		Course	
	SA 2 3 4 SD N Grp Med Med	ed. Mode	Std Dev
Q1		1 1	.84
	Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=1 [2] 2=2 [3] 3=3 [4] 4=4 [SI	D] Strongly D	isagree=5

												ANII	M 1020 -	· 01		
ne Student								Res	pon	ses				Cours	e	
							AT	. :	2 3	4	N	N	Grp Med	Med.	Mode	Sto
4	inatad in alasa dia	ruccion and	l activities				8	4	l 1	0	0	13	1.3	1	1	.63
17 I actively particip	ipateu iii ciass dis	Jussion and	a dottvitico.													
18 I was prepared fo				er in-class	s activitie	es.	Responses	: [A]			0	12	1.3	1 3] 3=3 [4]	1] 4=4 [N] N	.6


	0	1	2	3	4	5		
ANIM 1020 - 01	1		1.5					
								ANIM 1020 - 01
The Student							Responses	Course

VM 2 3 4 NM N Med Med. Mode De	Ш	The Student				ises				Course	9		
			VM	2	3	4	NM	N	Med	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	
Q19 To what extent did the instructor and the teaching of this course motivate you?		Q19 To what extent did the instructor and the teaching of this course motivate you?	8	3	2	0	0	13	1.3	1	1	.75	

Responses: [VM] Very Motivated=1 [2] 2 =2 [3] 3=3 [4] 4=4 [NM] Never Motivated=5

Responses: [A] A=1 [B] B=2 [C] C=3 [D] D=4 [F] F=5

ANIM 1020 - 01 2.1									
					-	NIM 1020	- 01		
The Student		Responses Course					!		
	A	В	С	D F	N	Grp Med	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q20 I expect to receive the following grade from this course:	1	9	2	0 0	12	2.1	2	2	.49



	The Instructor						Taylor, Kevin										
The							Individual										
		SA	2	3	4	SD	N	Grp Med	Med.	Mode	Std Dev						
Q21	Class sessions (lectures, demonstrations, discussion, etc.) were well organized.	9	2	1	1	0	13	1.2	1	1	.93						
Q22	The instructor demonstrated knowledge of the subject matter.	13	0	0	0	0	13	1.0	1	1	0						
Q23	The instructor contributed positively to a classroom atmosphere that was conducive to learning.	11	1	1	0	0	13	1.1	1	1	.58						
Q24	The instructor made efficient and full use of class sessions.	10	2	0	1	0	13	1.2	1	1	.84						
Q25	The instructor provided meaningful feedback to student work.	11	0	2	0	0	13	1.6	1	1	.72						
Q26	The instructor provided assistance when needed.	11	1	1	0	0	13	1.1	1	1	.58						
Q27	I would recommend this instructor to others.	7	4	0	2	0	13	1.4	1	1	1.05						

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=1 [2] 2=2 [3] 3=3 [4] 4=4 [SD] Strongly Disagree=5

0	1	2	3	4	5
Taylor, Kevin		1.7			

				Taylor, Kevin											
The Instructor		Responses					I								
	E	2	3	4	Р	N	Grp Med	Med.	Mode	Std Dev					
Q28 How do you rate the instructor overall?	6	4	2	0	0	12	1.5	1.5	1	.75					

Responses: [E] Excellent=1 [2] 2=2 [3] 3=3 [4] 4=4 [P] Poor=5

029 - Course	Planning a	nd Organization

Faculty: Taylor, Kevin

Res	ponse Rate: 84.62% (11 of 13)	
1	the course planning was well planned and organized	Q
2	perfect	Q
3	fair assignments and fair time to complete them. Kevin is a big lose for this school. He is half the reason I chose Webster in the first place	Q
4	Things seemed to go too fast.	Q
5	The Instructor would have a plan for what needs to get done during class but it would almost never be met because he would get pulled away by other students for 15 minute at a time when he should just tell them to come to his office hours if they are going to take up so much of the class. And then when he would come in the next day he would start to teach class like he did finish everything he need ed to the previous class but didn't.	
6	Same as above.	Q
7	Organized well and was able to help us comprehend the material.	Q
8	N/A	Q
9	It was fine. I don't know how well I'm going to do on this test, since this is a hands-on class and we've spent most of our time doing hands-on modeling work. So if I could ma a suggestion and maybe say the class before the test we brush up on terms and other things that could be on the test, just because also we only really had like three lecture and two of them were in the very beginning of the semester.	ke
10	Great	Q
11	Followed a schedule.	Q
Q3(- Communication/ Interaction	
	Faculty: Taylor, Kevin	
Res	ponse Rate: 84.62% (11 of 13)	
1	perfect	Q
2	great professor, accessible, and very helpful	Q
3	communication was excellent	Q
4	Yes.	Q
5	The feed back on projects is a little confusing especially in a beginning level class. Some visuals would be enhancing to the feed back.	Q
6	Same as above.	Q
7	N/A	Q
8	N/A - though other students have said you meet up with them and help them after class and such, so that's cool. I never felt like I couldn't reach out to you or ask for help.	Q
9	Kevin Taylor had too much interaction with students in class. He would help every student individually for 45 minutes at a time after teaching one principle becuase they don understand it. This caused for about two-thirds of the class being down time for the people who understood the concept the first time but can't move on because the instruction is not teaching. Great	't
11	Easy to contact and meet with for extra help.	Q
Q3	- Assignments, Exams, and Grading Faculty: Taylor, Kevin	
Pos		
1	the grading was good .	Q
2	good	Q
3	fair	Q
4	Same as above.	Q
5	N/A	Q
6	N/A I felt like the assignments were graded at a bit higher of a level than an introductory class, especially since we only met once a week it was hard to get input on assignments	Q
8	that were due the next week. Fair	Q
9	Assignments were pertinent to course and the instructor offered great feedback with each assignment.	Q
10	Assignments were grading very harshly and he would assume the use of certain tools even if they weren't used.	Q
11	Appropriate.	Q
Q3:	2 - Course Results/ Outcomes	
	Faculty: Taylor, Kevin	
Res	sponse Rate: 76.92% (10 of 13)	
1	the outcomes expected of the course were meet	Q
2	great	Q
3	good	Q

4	Well I guess we'll see.	Q
5	We did some cool stuff and I didn't really know what I was 100% getting into when I signed up for this class, but I think I learned some valuable stuff.	Q
6	Same as above.	Q
7	N/A	Q
8	I learned stuff, but this class stressed me the heck out a lot.	Q
9	I know a lot more about 3d modeling than i did before this class and it shows in my work.	Q
10	Fair	Q