SP 2017 UG Survey
SP 2017

Webster University
School of Communications

Course:	ANIM 1020 02 - 3D Animation Production: Core Concepts	Department:	ANIM
Responsible Faculty:	Kevin Taylor	Responses / Expected:	12 / 15 (80%)

Q.	I - Comments on facilities used for class (classrooms and labs):	
Re	esponse Rate: 75.00% (9 of 12)	
1	good	Q
2	We need an actual game lab, without getting kicked out by German class Better computers that have an Operating System. and just updated software in general.	Q
3	Very appropriate. No complaints.	Q
4	The classroom was spacious enough, nice setup and setting.	Q
5	I found that the classroom/lab had frequent problems running Maya 3D 2017 over the 16-week course. The program would often crash or slow down making modeling unbearable and the class unproductive.	Q
6	Felt rather cramped in room size.	Q
7	Cool	Q
8	Classrooms are fine.	Q
9	Classroom was pretty clean and there was always room for everyone to work.	Q

Q2	- Comments on equipment used for class:	
Re	sponse Rate: 83.33% (10 of 12)	
1	needs more powerful computers	Q
2	Would be nice to have computers that didn't crash maya as often as they do.	Q
3	We need an actual game lab, without getting kicked out by German class Better computers that have an Operating System. and just updated software in general.	Q
4	The Maya program had a tendency to be laggy when used for long periods of time.	Q
5	I found that the classroom/lab had frequent problems running Maya 3D 2017 over the 16-week course. The program would often crash or slow down making modeling unbearable and the class unproductive.	Q
6	Equipment can do with an upgrade in the next year (maybe?)	Q
7	Could have been more powerful in able to run maya.	Q
8	Cool	Q
9	Computers felt a bit under powered; many classmates were having the computers freeze at times.	Q
10	Computers were pretty good although some didn't work at times.	Q

1	Q3 - Comments for the media center	:	
F	Response Rate: 58.33% (7 of 12)		
	1 n/a		Q
:	2 n/a		Q
:	3 good		Q
	4 We need an actual game lab, with	nout getting kicked out by German class Better computers that have an Operating System. and just updated software in general.	Q
	5 None thus far.		Q
	6 No comments.		Q
	7 N/A		Q

	0	1	2	3	4	5
ANIM 1020 -	02	1.0				

	ANIM 1020 - 02														
Graduate Course Specific	Responses				Responses						Course				
		2	3	4	N	N	Grp Med	Med.	Mode	Std Dev					
Q4 The class met for the full length of scheduled sessions.	12	0	0	0	0	12	1.0	1	1	0					


Responses: [ATT] All the time=1 [2] 2=2 [3] 3=3 [4] 4=4 [N] Never=5

ANIM 1020 - 02	1.1										
							ANI	M 1020 -	02		
Graduate Course Specific				Respo	nses				Cours	е	
			SA	2 3	4	SD	N	Grp Med	Med.	Mode	Std Dev

5

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=1 [2] 2=2 [3] 3=3 [4] 4=4 [SD] Strongly Disagree=5

9 1 0 0 0 10 1.1



Q5 The materials covered were appropriate for the graduate level.

		ANIM 1020 - 02											
The	Course	Responses					Responses Course						
		SA	2	3	4	SD	N	Grp Med	Med.	Mode	Std Dev		
Q6	The course content was consistent with the course description.	10	2	0	0	0	12	1.1	1	1	.37		
Q7	Student learning outcomes from this class were clearly defined in the syllabus or verbally by the instructor.	9	3	0	0	0	12	1.2	1	1	.43		
Q8	The text(s), materials, and other resources used reflected the course student learning outcomes.	9	2	0	0	1	12	1.2	1	1	1.12		
Q9	Assignments were appropriate to the course content.	11	1	0	0	0	12	1.0	1	1	.28		
Q10	The pace at which the instructor covered the material was appropriate for the amount of material covered.	8	3	1	0	0	12	1.3	1	1	.64		

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=1 [2] 2=2 [3] 3=3 [4] 4=4 [SD] Strongly Disagree=5

() 1		2 :	3 4	5
ANIM 1020 - 02		1.3			

						ANIM 1020 - 02							
The Course		Responses					Course						
		2	3	3 4	P	N	Grp Med	Med.	Mode	Std Dev			
Q11 How do you rate the course overall in meeting the stated learning outcomes?	9	2	1	0	0	1:	2 1.2	1	1	.62			

Responses: [E] Excellent=1 [2] 2=2 [3] 3=3 [4] 4=4 [P] Poor=5

Q1	2 - Course Pl	se Planning and Organization	
Res	sponse Rate:	Rate: 83.33% (10 of 12)	
1	good		Q
2	We pretty n	etty much followed the schedule of assignments for the course.	Q
3	We need ar	ed an actual game lab, without getting kicked out by German class Better computers that have an Operating System. and just updated software in general.	Q
4	We did not	I not use the book required for the class at all inside or outside the class. I'm still not sure why the book is listed for the course.	Q
5	Very well or	ell organized and planned out.	Q
6	It went smo	t smoothly enough.	Q
7		I that we had a set schedule and everything we needed to know for that assignment was outlined in the syllabus but when it came to class time planning or or ore laid back than most.	rganization
8		ike this course could be extended into 2 different classes like a 1 level and a 2 level specifically because it could go into more detail, while also extending into ques and also rigging which this oddly doesn't cover	o different Q
9	Every day w	day we had a clear cut goal we needed to fulfill and our professor offered what services and advice he could to help us meet those goals	Q
10	Cool		Q

Q13 - Communication/ Interaction								
Response Rate:	66.67% (8 of 12)							

117 I actively participated in class discussion and activities. 118 I was prepared for quizzes, examinations, critiques, and other in-class activities.	9		3	0	0 0		1.2	1	1	.43
	АТ		2	3	4 N	I N	Grp Med	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
he Student		Re	espo	onse	es	ANI	M 1020 -	· 02 Cours	e	
Q18 1.4										
0 1 2 3 4 5 Q17 1.3										
<u> </u>								. ,		
Responses: [SA]										1
116 I took sufficient responsibility for increasing my knowledge of the subject.	10	2	0	0	ارد	12	Med 1.1	1 Nieu.	1	Dev
ne statent	SA	Res 2	pon:	ses 4	SD	N	Grp	Course Med.	Mode	Std
he Student		Do-	n			ANIN	1 1020 - (
ANIM 1020 - 02 1.2										
0 1 2 3 4 5										
Course results would be I came out knowing how to make 3d models but the answers that exist still were never rea	ally answ	vere	d th	ius i	ny ex	oectati	ons were	never re	ally met.	
Expectations are clearly talked about and well defined.										(
I actually look forward to just playing around with 3D modelling whenever I can over this coming summer.										C
I feel like I learned the required course material and now have a basic understanding of 3D modeling and the fundar	mentals	beł	hind	ma	king a	good	3D mode	l.		
Hearned										
We need an actual game lab, without getting kicked out by German class Better computers that have an Operation	ng Syste	em.	and	jus	t upda	ited so	ftware in	general.		
ended up with three great looking projects										
good										
learned a lot										
esponse Rate: 75.00% (9 of 12)										
15 - Course Results/ Outcomes										
Assignments were properly graded in my opinion and they were helpful in understanding the purpose of the course.										
Assignments had varied applications and skill sets to be learned.										(
Assignments had an appropriate amount of time devoted to them.										(
For the feedback I was getting on my assignments and the fact that there were only four assignments for a 16-wee week of class, I was unimpressive with the assignments and grading.	ek course	e, e	xclu	ding	the t	est tha	it popped	out the 2	2nd to last	
I think that getting evaluations over assignments like its a professional level is good, but I think grades should not	also refl	ect	s ho	w g	ood it	is on a	profess	ional leve	el.	
We need an actual game lab, without getting kicked out by German class Better computers that have an Operation	ng Syste	em.	and	jus	t upda	ited so	ftware in	general.		
fair										
made sense										
the assignments were well thought out and made us delve into all the possibilities of strictly the modeling function	ns on ma	aya								
esponse Rate: 75.00% (9 of 12)										
14 - Assignments, Exams, and Grading										
Greta										C
I found that when I would ask Kevin a question he wouldn't answer it instead he would fabricate a different idea of wunhelpful for things I could fix on my project. Where instead of helping me by teaching he would do it for me and ex							ractions	were nor	mally	C
Ideas were communicated clearly and efficiently.										C
The comments on the assignments were always helpful and insightful.										
We need an actual game lab, without getting kicked out by German class Better computers that have an Operation	ng Syste	em.	and	jus	t upda	ited so	ftware in	general.		C
any time there was a question, and there were a lot, our professor gave us the attention and time we needed to com	ne up wi	th a	ın ar	ารพ	er					
fine										C

	0	1		2	3	4	5										
	ANIM 1020 - 02		1.4														
													ANIN	1 1020 -	02		
Ti	The Student				Responses Course												
								VM	2	3	4 1	NM	N	Grp Med	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q	19 To what extent did	the instructor	and the	teachir	ng of this course	motivate you?		10	1	0	0	1	12	1.1	1	1	1.11

Responses: [VM] Very Motivated=1 [2] 2 =2 [3] 3=3 [4] 4=4 [NM] Never Motivated=5

0	1	2	3	4	5
ANIM 1020 - 02		1.8			

						,	NIM 1020	- 02				
The Student						Course						
	A	В	С	D	F	N	Grp Med	Med.	Mode	Std Dev		
Q20 I expect to receive the following grade from this course:	4	6	2	0	0	12	1.8	2	2	.69		

Responses: [A] A=1 [B] B=2 [C] C=3 [D] D=4 [F] F=5

0	1	2	3	4	5
Q21	1.3				
Q22	1.1				
Q23	1.2				
Q24	1.2				
Q25	1.1				
Q21 Q22 Q23 Q24 Q25 Q26	1.3				
Q27	1.3				

		Taylor, Kevin										
The	Instructor	ı	Res	pon	ses	;	Individual					
		SA	2	3	4	SD	N	Grp Med	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	
Q21	Class sessions (lectures, demonstrations, discussion, etc.) were well organized.	10	1	1	0	0	12	1.1	1	1	.60	
Q22	The instructor demonstrated knowledge of the subject matter.	11	1	0	0	0	12	1.0	1	1	.28	
Q23	The instructor contributed positively to a classroom atmosphere that was conducive to learning.	11	0	1	0	0	12	1.5	1	1	.55	
Q24	The instructor made efficient and full use of class sessions.	10	2	0	0	0	12	1.1	1	1	.37	
Q25	The instructor provided meaningful feedback to student work.	11	1	0	0	0	12	1.0	1	1	.28	
Q26	The instructor provided assistance when needed.	10	1	1	0	0	12	1.1	1	1	.60	
Q27	I would recommend this instructor to others.	9	3	0	0	0	12	1.2	1	1	.43	

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=1 [2] 2=2 [3] 3=3 [4] 4=4 [SD] Strongly Disagree=5

() 1	2	2 3	3 4	5
Taylor, Kevin		1.3			

	Taylor, Kevin											
The Instructor		Re	espons	ses		Individual						
	E	2	3	4	Р	N	Grp Med	Med.	Mode	Std Dev		
Q28 How do you rate the instructor overall?	9	2	1	0	0	12	1.2	1	1	.62		

Responses: [E] Excellent=1 [2] 2=2 [3] 3=3 [4] 4=4 [P] Poor=5

Q2	9 - Course Pla	nning and Organization	
	Faculty:	Taylor, Kevin	
Re	sponse Rate:	58.33% (7 of 12)	
1	the assignm	ents and classes always had ample time to work on our projects while also learning the methods we needed to complete them	Q
2	good		Q
3	We need an	actual game lab, without getting kicked out by German class Better computers that have an Operating System. and just updated software in general.	Q
4	N/A		Q
5		ve had a set schedule and everything we needed to know for that assignment was outlined in the syllabus but when it came to class time planning or organization d back than most.	Q

6 Course was well organized.	Q
7 Course followed the syllabus almost exactly, and topics were covered in detail.	Q
Q30 - Communication/ Interaction Faculty: Taylor, Kevin	
Response Rate: 66.67% (8 of 12)	
1 the professor was always willing to listen	Q
2 instructor made it a point to help each person with their issues and answered any and all questions	Q
3 good	Q
4 We need an actual game lab, without getting kicked out by German class Better computers that have an Operating System. and just updated software	e in general.
5 Kevin was frequently available out of class and would always be happy to help with questions.	Q
6 I found that when I would ask Kevin a question he wouldn't answer it instead he would fabricate a different idea of what the question was. The interactic unhelpful for things I could fix on my project. Where instead of helping me by teaching he would do it for me and expect me to learn it from that.	ons were normally
Easy to reach for outside of class help when it is needed. I really appreciate him taking time to reach out to me when I missed class to help me get cau that I was caught up on an assignment. I hate that he's leaving. I really enjoyed having him as a professor for the past two semesters and I really appreciate help.	
8 Communicated ideas effectively.	Q
Q31 - Assignments, Exams, and Grading	
Faculty: Taylor, Kevin	
Response Rate: 58.33% (7 of 12)	
1 good	Q
2 We need an actual game lab, without getting kicked out by German class Better computers that have an Operating System. and just updated software	
3 N/A	Q
4 Grading was fair.	Q
For the feedback I was getting on my assignments and the fact that there were only four assignments for a 16-week course, excluding the test that population week of class, I was unimpressive with the assignments and grading.	
6 Felt a bit as though a Kevin expects a lot from his students, even a bit much	Q
7 Assignments took an appropriate amount of time	Q
Q32 - Course Results/ Outcomes	
Faculty: Taylor, Kevin	
Response Rate: 58.33% (7 of 12)	
1 good	Q
2 We need an actual game lab, without getting kicked out by German class Better computers that have an Operating System. and just updated software	
3 Outcomes are what I expected.	Q
4 N/A	Q
5 I learned a lot from this course, instructor guided the class through the proper principles to achieve good usage of 3d design software and the technique proficient	
6 I can expand my mechanical knowledge of modeling in Maya with the fundamentals I learned in this class.	Q
7 Course results would be I came out knowing how to make 3d models but the answers that exist still were never really answered thus my expectations w	